arXiv:1404.0706v4 [math.NT] 22 May 2014

A function to calculate all relative prime numbers up to the product of the first n primes

Matthias Schmitt*

May 23, 2014

Abstract

We prove an isomorphism between the finite domain $F_{\prod p_i}$ and the new defined set of prime modular numbers. This definition provides some insights about relative prime numbers. We provide an inverse function from the prime modular numbers into $F_{\prod p_i}$. With this function we can calculate all numbers from 1 up to the product of the first n primes that are not divisible by the first n primes. This function provides a non sequential way for the calculation of prime numbers.

1 prime modular numbers

Definition: Let $n \in N$ and $p_i, i = 1..n$ the first n prime numbers.
The **prime modular numbers of level n** are tuples of length n defined by

$$PM(n) := \{ \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \mod 2 \\ t_2 & \mod 3 \\ t_3 & \mod 5 \\ t_4 & \mod 7 \\ & ... \\ t_n & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} | t_i \in N \}.$$

The set of all prime modular numbers of length n is called $PM(n)$.

Example: For level n=1 there are two prime modular numbers:

$$PM(1) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \}$$

For level n=2 there are six prime modular numbers:

$$PM(2) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \}$$

*mschmitt@db12.de, diploma mathematician graduated in Mathematical Institute, University of Cologne, 1997

Definition: The **primorial number** $\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$ is defined as the product of the first n prime numbers.

Lemma 1.1 *The number of elements in PM(n) equals the primorial number* $\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$.

Proof: The number of different element on position $i \in \{1, .., n\}$ equals p_i due to the defintion of the mod() function. The number of permutations of the first n primes equals $\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$. ∎

2 Isomorphism $f : F_{\prod p_i} \to PM(n)$

Definition: We define addition in PM(n) by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 \mod 2 \\ s_2 \mod 3 \\ s_3 \mod 5 \\ \dots \\ s_n \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \mod 2 \\ t_2 \mod 3 \\ t_3 \mod 5 \\ \dots \\ t_n \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} (s_1 + t_1) \mod 2 \\ (s_2 + t_2) \mod 3 \\ (s_3 + t_3) \mod 5 \\ \dots \\ (s_n + t_n) \mod p_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition: We define multiplication in PM(n) by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 \mod 2 \\ s_2 \mod 3 \\ s_3 \mod 5 \\ \dots \\ s_n \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \mod 2 \\ t_2 \mod 3 \\ t_3 \mod 5 \\ \dots \\ t_n \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} (s_1 * t_1) \mod 2 \\ (s_2 * t_2) \mod 3 \\ (s_3 * t_3) \mod 5 \\ \dots \\ (s_n * t_n) \mod p_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition: Let be $F_{\prod p_i} = \{1, 2, .., \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i\}$.

$F_{\prod p_i}$ is a finite integral domain of size $\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$.
We define the homomorphism $f : F_{\prod p_i} \to PM(n)$:

$$f(k) := \begin{pmatrix} k \mod 2 \\ k \mod 3 \\ k \mod 5 \\ \dots \\ k \mod p_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 1 (Isomorphism from $F_{\prod p_i}$ into PM(n)) *Let be $k \in F_{\prod p_i}$ and f the homomorphism:*

$$f(k) := \begin{pmatrix} k & \mod 2 \\ k & \mod 3 \\ k & \mod 5 \\ & ... \\ k & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} \in PM(n)$$

f is an isomorphism.

Proof: To proof the isomorphism, we show that $f(m+n) = f(m) + f(n)$, $f(m*n) = f(m) * f(n)$ and f is injective.

a)

$$f(m+n) = \begin{pmatrix} m+n & \mod 2 \\ m+n & \mod 3 \\ m+n & \mod 5 \\ & ... \\ m+n & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m & \mod 2+n & \mod 2 \\ m & \mod 3+n & \mod 3 \\ m & \mod 5+n & \mod 5 \\ & ... & \\ m & \mod p_n+n & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} m & \mod 2 \\ m & \mod 3 \\ m & \mod 5 \\ & ... \\ m & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} n & \mod 2 \\ n & \mod 3 \\ n & \mod 5 \\ & ... \\ n & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} = f(m) + f(n)$$

b)

$$f(m*n) = \begin{pmatrix} m*n & \mod 2 \\ m*n & \mod 3 \\ m*n & \mod 5 \\ & ... \\ m*n & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m & \mod 2*n & \mod 2 \\ m & \mod 3*n & \mod 3 \\ m & \mod 5*n & \mod 5 \\ & ... & \\ m & \mod p_n*n & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} m & \mod 2 \\ m & \mod 3 \\ m & \mod 5 \\ & ... \\ m & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} n & \mod 2 \\ n & \mod 3 \\ n & \mod 5 \\ & ... \\ n & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix} = f(m) * f(n)$$

c) f is injective:

$$f(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ ... \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } f(\prod p_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ ... \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\forall m \in F_{\prod p_i} : f(m+1) = \begin{pmatrix} m+1 & \mod 2 \\ m+1 & \mod 3 \\ & ... \\ m+1 & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\forall m, n \in F_{\prod p_i} : f(m) = f(n) => f(m+1) = f(n+1)$$

Thus

$$\forall m, n, r \in F_{\prod p_i} : f(m) = f(n) => f(m+r) = f(n+r) \ [\alpha]$$

Suppose there are two values

$$m, n \in F_{\prod p_i} \text{ and } n > m \text{ with } f(m) = f(n)$$

. Then there is

$$r := \prod p_i - n \text{ and } f(n+r) = f(\prod p_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ ... \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Due to $[\alpha]$:

$$f(n+r) = f(m+r) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ ... \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So there must be an value $m < \prod p_i$ that is divisible by the first n primes.
This is impossible, therefore f is injective. ∎

Example: For level n=2:

k	1	2	3	4	5	6
$f(k)$	$\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0\\2\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}1\\2\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}$

For level n=3:

$$f(1) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\1\end{pmatrix}, f(2) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\2\\2\end{pmatrix}, f(3) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\3\end{pmatrix}, f(4) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\4\end{pmatrix}, f(5) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\2\\0\end{pmatrix}, f(6) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix},$$

$$f(7) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\2\end{pmatrix}, f(8) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\2\\3\end{pmatrix}, f(9) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\4\end{pmatrix}, f(10) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, f(11) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\2\\1\end{pmatrix}, f(12) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\2\end{pmatrix},$$

$$f(13) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\3\end{pmatrix}, f(14) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\2\\4\end{pmatrix}, f(15) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, f(16) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\1\end{pmatrix}, f(17) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\2\\2\end{pmatrix}, f(18) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\3\end{pmatrix},$$

$$f(19) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\4\end{pmatrix}, f(20) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\2\\0\end{pmatrix}, f(21) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}, f(22) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\2\end{pmatrix}, f(23) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\2\\3\end{pmatrix}, f(24) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\4\end{pmatrix},$$
$$f(25) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, f(26) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\2\\1\end{pmatrix}, f(27) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\2\end{pmatrix}, f(28) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\3\end{pmatrix}, f(29) = \begin{pmatrix}1\\2\\4\end{pmatrix}, f(30) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

Corollary 1 *PM(n) is a finite integral domain of size* $\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$.

Remark: The main drawback for calculation with prime modular numbers, is that it is no longer possible to compare two numbers and decide which one is bigger. But we get some other advantages instead, because it is much easier to decide whether a number is relative prime.

There is an inverse function f^{-1}: $PM(n) \to F_{\prod p_i}$ that will be discussed in chapter 4.

3 relative prime elements

Definition: Given the set PM(n). An element in PM(n) is said to be **relative prime** if it has no zeros in its tuple.

Lemma 3.1 *The size of the set PM(n) is* $\prod_{i=1..n} (p_i - 1)$.

Proof: The number of values in a prime modular number on each position without 0 is $p_i - 1$. Therefore the number of possible permutations is $\prod_{i=1..n} (p_i - 1)$. ∎

Example: in PM(3) there are 8 relative prime elements:
$1 = \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\1\end{pmatrix}$,$7 = \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\2\end{pmatrix}$,$13 = \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\3\end{pmatrix}$,$19 = \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\4\end{pmatrix}$,
$11 = \begin{pmatrix}1\\2\\1\end{pmatrix}$,$17 = \begin{pmatrix}1\\2\\2\end{pmatrix}$,$23 = \begin{pmatrix}1\\2\\3\end{pmatrix}$,$29 = \begin{pmatrix}1\\2\\4\end{pmatrix}$.

Definition: Given the set PM(n) and the isomorphism $f : F_{\prod p_i} \to PM(n)$. We define $f^{-1} : PM(n) \to F_{\prod p_i}$ as the **inverse function** to f with

$$\forall k \in F_{\prod p_i} : f^{-1}(f(k)) = k$$

.

Definition: Given the set PM(n). We define the **prime** elements $t \in PM(n)$ as all elements, with $f^{-1}(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ ... \\ t_{p_n} \end{pmatrix})$ is prime in $F_{\prod p_i}$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let p_{n+1} be the (n+1)-th prime number in N.*
Let $Q = \{q$ is prime $\wedge\, p_{n+1} \leq q < \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i\}$.
$\forall q \in Q : f(q)$ is relative prime.

Proof: Each $q \in Q$ is prime and therefore not divisible by the first n primes $p_1..p_n$.

$$f(q) = \begin{pmatrix} q & \mod 2 \\ q & \mod 3 \\ q & \mod 5 \\ & ... \\ q & \mod p_n \end{pmatrix}$$

has no zero value in its tuple and therefore is relative prime. ∎

Remark: $f(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ .. \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is never prime in PM(n).
In PM(2) and PM(3) all relative prime elements (except 1) are prime.
For $n \geq 4$ there are many other relative prime elements in PM(n), that are not prime.
In PM(4) (range 11..210) all examples for this are

$$11^2 = 121 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, 11 * 13 = 143 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$11 * 17 = 187 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, 13^2 = 169 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, 11 * 19 = 209 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

.

Lemma 3.3 *Let p_{n+1} be the (n+1)-th prime number in N. Each relative prime number $t \in PM(n)$ with $f^{-1}(t) = k$ and $p_{n+1} \leq k < p_{n+1}^2$ is prime.*

Proof: Each relative prime number in PM(n) is not divisible by the first n primes. Therefore the minimal relative prime number q, that is not prime must have $f^{-1}(q) = p_{n+1}^2$. ∎

Lemma 3.4 *Given the set PM(n). We define the subset*

$PM^{'}(n) := \{t \in PM(n) \mid t \text{ is not prime} \wedge \text{minimal prime factor } (f^{-1}(t)) > p_n\}$

. $PM^{'}(n)$ contains all relative prime elements that are not prime.

Proof: With minimal prime factor $(f^{-}1(t)) > p_n$ =>
all tuple values are > 0 =>
t is relative prime per definitionem. ∎

Proposition 1 *Let p_{n+1} be the (n+1)-th prime number in N. The number of all primes q with $p_{n+1} \leq q < \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$ is*

$$\prod_{i=1..n} (p_i - 1) - 1 - \text{ size of } PM^{'}(n)$$

Proof: The number of relative primes in PM(n) is $\prod_{i=1..n} (p_i - 1)$.

From this we substract one for the relative prime $1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ .. \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The remaining relative primes, that are not prime are defined in the set $PM^{'}(n)$.
∎

Example: The number of primes between 6 and 30: (1*2*4) -1 -0 = 7
The number of primes between 10 and 210: (1*2*4*6) -1 -5 = 42.

Corollary 1 *Let p_{n+1} be the (n+1)-th prime number in N. The number of all primes q with $q < \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$ is*

$$n + \prod_{i=1..n} (p_i - 1) - 1 - \text{ size of } PM^{'}(n)$$

4 the inverse function f^{-1}

Definition: Let the set PM(n) be given. We define the **unary** elements in PM(n) as all elements with (n-1) zeros and one 1 in the tuple.

Example: There are n unary tuples in PM(n). In PM(4) there are 4 unary elements $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 4.1 *Each unary element in PM(n) generates a subset of PM(n) that is a finite field. Therefore each element t in this subset has an inverse element.*

Proof: Let

$$PM(n)_{u_i} := \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ .. \\ k \\ .. \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} | k \in \{0, .., p_i - 1\} \}$$

be the subset of all tuples, where all tuple values are zero, but on the i-th position. This subset forms a field with addition and multiplication and is isomorph to the finite field Z/Z_{p_i}. ∎

Lemma 4.2 *Each element in PM(n) can be constructed by the unary elements.*

Proof:

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 & \text{mod } 2 \\ k_2 & \text{mod } 3 \\ k_3 & \text{mod } 5 \\ & ... \\ k_n & \text{mod } p_n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ ... \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ ... \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + ... + \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ ... \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition: Let the finite field Z/Z_{p_i} with p_i prime be given.
For each element $t \in Z/Z_{p_i}$
there exists an inverse element t^{-1} with $t * t^{-1} = 1$.
We define t **modInverse**$(p_i) := t^{-1}$

Proposition 1 *For an unary element $u_i \in PM(n) : v_i := f^{-1}(u_i)$ can be calculated by:*

$$v_i = (\prod_{j=1}^{n} p_j)/p_i * [(\prod_{j=1}^{n} p_j)/p_i \ \textit{modInverse}\ (p_i)]$$

Proof: The value $v_i = f^{-1}(u_i)$ must be
[condition a]: divisible by all primes $p_j, j \in \{1..n\}, j \neq i$ except p_i
and [condition b]: $v_i \mod (p_i) = 1$.
$v_i := (\prod_{j=1}^{n} p_j/p_i)$ resolves condition a , but not in all cases condition b.

To resolve [condition b] we multiple the value v_i by $(\prod_{j=1}^{n} p_j/p_i)$ modInverse (p_i).

$v_i^* := v_i * [(\prod_{j=1}^{n} p_j)/p_i$ modInverse $(p_i)] \mod p_i = 1$ resolves both conditions.

v_i is an element in the field $PM(n)_{u_i}$. Therefore there exists an inverse element.
Due to the isomorphism in chapter 2 there can only be one v_i^* with $f(v_i) = u_i$. ∎

Theorem 1 (inverse function) *Let PM(n) be given.*
Let g: PM(n) $\to F_{\prod p_i}$:
Let the map be defined by

$$g(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \\ ... \\ t_n \end{pmatrix}) = (\sum_{i=1..n} t_i * v_i) \mod (\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i)$$

Then g is the inverse function to f.

Proof: Each $k \in PM(n)$ can be calculated by its unary elements. For each unary element the value v_i is known. ∎

Remark: For all $t_i = 0 \to g(t) = 0$. In $F_{\prod p_i}$ this is equivalent to $\prod p_i$.

Example:

n	range	rel. primes	coeffs
1	1 - 2	1	$f^{-1}(t) = (1t_1) \mod 2$
2	1 - 6	2	$f^{-1}(t) = (3t_1 + 4t_2) \mod 6$
3	1 - 30	8	$f^{-1}(t) = (15t_1 + 10t_2 + 6t_3) \mod 30$
4	1 - 210	48	$f^{-1}(t) = (105t_1 + 70t_2 + 126t_3 + 120t_4) \mod 210$
5	1 - 2310	480	$f^{-1}(t) = (1155t_1 + 1540t_2 + 1386t_3 + 330t_4 + 210t_5) \mod 2310$

with $t_1 \in \{0,1\}, t_2 \in \{0,1,2\}, t_3 \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}, t_4 \in \{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}, t_5 \in \{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10\}$

5 conclusions

The inverse function provides an algorithm to test for higher primes:

We can iterate all relative prime elements in PM(n) to calculate all relative prime values up to $\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$.

Start for example with $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$ and subtract one from the last value $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, ...$ until $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and then switch to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$ and then repeat until we reach $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

For each element we can calculate $f^{-1}(t)$ as a prime candidate. This is really similar to the wheel factorization of primes, but not in sequential order. Instead of iterating the wheel multiple times we just go up to $\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$.

There are some questions open to make this algorithm effective. We still have to check each relative prime element for its primeness. As part of a solution, it is possible to extend each element

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ .. \\ t_n \end{pmatrix} \in PM(n)$$

for tuple positions $t_i > n$. Together with $f^{-1}(t) <= \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$ these extensions are unique.

The extended element $t' = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ .. \\ t_n \\ t_{n+1} \\ .. \\ t_{n+m} \end{pmatrix}$ can be easily checked for primeness.

6 remarks

Thanks to all the reviewers for their valuable feedback. A special thanks to Ralf Schiffler (University of Connecticut) for his help with the calculation of the inverse function.
After publication of this article Ramin Zahedi contacted me with his own article, which provides similar results from a different perspective.[1]

References

[1] R. Zahedi, On algebraic structure of the set of prime numbers, 2012; arXiv:1209.3165v5